## **Cation Exchange**

Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) Base Saturation Ca:Mg Ratio

John E. Sawyer Professor Soil Fertility Extension Specialist Iowa State University



## What is a Cation and Cation Exchange?

## Cation

Positively charged ion

Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, H<sup>+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>

## Exchange

#### > Trading of one cation for another

- Soil colloid ↔ soil solution
- Soil colloid or soil solution ↔ plant root



## What is Cation Exchange (cont.)?

#### Cation adsorption strength

- Cations are held with different strengths on the soil exchange sites
- More strongly held cations accumulate as soils weather over a long time period

$$AI^{3+} > H^+ > Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > K^+ = NH_4^+ > Na^+$$
  
Acidic Cations" "Basic Cations"

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

"

# What is Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)?

- The total number of exchangeable cations a soil can hold
  - Source of CEC
    - Negative sites on clay and organic matter
    - Sand and silt do not contribute negative sites
  - > Amount of CEC
    - Varies by amount and type of clay and organic matter
  - > Importance
    - Holds plant essential cation nutrients available in soil

## What is Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)?

Extension and Outreach



CEC can give general clues to soil properties

- Soils with low CEC
  - High sand content
  - Low organic matter
  - Less lime needed to change pH
  - Leaching of N more likely
  - Low water holding capacity

Soils with high CEC

- > High clay or organic matter
- More lime needed to change pH
- Greater capacity to hold nutrients
- High water holding capacity

#### Approximate CEC of various soil textures

| Soil Texture           |
|------------------------|
| sandy loams            |
| silt loams & loams     |
| silty clays/clay loams |
| organic soils          |

| Light Color |
|-------------|
| < 8         |
| 8 - 15      |
|             |
| 15 - 25     |

<u>Dark Color</u> 8 - 15 15 - 25 25 - 40 50 - 100



#### CEC allows soil to act as a buffer

- > Buffer means resistance to change
- > Helps us manage crop nutrients
  - Large cation nutrient storage
  - Slow drawdown of nutrients by crops
  - Allows management flexibility and crop safety
- > However, at same time
  - Large amounts of lime and fertilizers needed to change pH and soil test levels

### "Classic" laboratory measurement

- Saturation with ammonium
- > Expensive and time consuming

## Laboratory estimation

- Summation of exchangeable cations
  - Determined from ammonium acetate or Mehlich-3 extraction and soil buffer pH<sub>b</sub>
  - Less expensive and quick

## **Problem with CEC Estimation**

#### An estimate only

- > Not direct measurement
- Ca<sup>+2</sup> from free CaCO<sub>3</sub> in calcareous soils inflates CEC value when Ca is extracted with neutral ammonium acetate or Mehlich-3 extractants



## **Estimate of CEC**

- Summation of exchangeable bases and exchangeable acidity
  - > Exchangeable bases from cation determination
    - K<sup>+</sup> meq/100g = (ppm K) ÷ 390
    - Ca<sup>++</sup> meq/100g = (ppm Ca) ÷ 200
    - Mg<sup>++</sup> meq/100g = (ppm Mg) ÷ 120
    - Na<sup>+</sup> meq/100g = (ppm Na) ÷ 230
  - > Exchangeable Acidity Estimated from Buffer pH
    - meq acidity/100g = 12 x (7.0 pH<sub>b</sub>)

## **Estimate of CEC**

#### Example CEC Summation Calculation

- 120 ppm K ÷ 390
- 975 ppm Ca ÷ 200
- 210 ppm Mg ÷ 120
- (7.0 6.8 pH<sub>b</sub>) x 12 CEC

- = 0.31 meq K/100g
- = 4.88 meq Ca/100g
- = 1.75 meq Mg/100g
- = 2.40 meq H/100g
- = 9.34 meq /100g



## **Base Saturation**

Definition

Percentage of total CEC occupied by basic cations
 Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>

Soil pH is generally related to % base saturation



IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

#### **Example Base Saturation Calculation**

Base Saturation = (total meq of cation bases  $\div$  CEC) x 100  $\frac{(K + Mg + Ca)}{CEC} \times 100$ Example: meg Ca = 4.88

Example: m

meq Ca = 
$$4.88$$
  
meq Mg =  $1.75$   
meq K =  $0.31$   
meq H =  $2.40$   
CEC =  $9.34$ 

Base Saturation = (4.88 + 1.75 + 0.31) x 100 = 74% 9.34

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

## **Example Cation Saturation Calculation**

Cation Saturation = (meq of cation  $\div$  CEC) x 100

Example:

- meq Ca = 4.88
- meq Mg = 1.75
- meq K = 0.31
- $\underline{\text{meq H}} = 2.40$ 
  - CEC = 9.34

 $\frac{\text{Cation Saturation}}{(4.88/9.34) \times 100 = 52\%}$  $(1.75/9.34) \times 100 = 19\%$  $(0.31/9.34) \times 100 = 3\%$  $(2.40/9.34) \times 100 = 26\%$ 



## Base Cation Saturation for Formulating Fertilizer Recommendations???

- Basic Cation Saturation Ratio (BCSR)
  - > Theory
    - Maximum yields achieved by creating an ideal ratio of Ca, Mg, and K
    - Originated in New Jersey (Bear et al., 1945)
    - Eight years of work with alfalfa on acidic soils with lime amendment
    - Modified by Graham (Missouri, 1959) into ranges (no research data, interpretation only)

## **Problems with BCSR Concept!**

- Research database for support is lacking
- Research shows that optimum yield occurs outside of ideal range, that is, no "ideal range" or "ideal saturation level"
- Often generates recommendations that are prohibitively expensive and not justified by agronomic research
- No justification to alter Ca:Mg ratios
  - IF there is a deficiency of Ca or Mg, apply nutrient to correct deficiency rather than altering ratio

## **BCSR Compared To Soil Test K** Interpretation

|          | Optimum | Base Saturation Concept |     |     |
|----------|---------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| CEC      | Concept | 2 %                     | 3%  | 5%  |
| meq/100g |         | ppm S                   | STK |     |
| 3        | 161-200 | 24                      | 35  | 59  |
| 6        | 161-200 | 47                      | 70  | 117 |
| 12       | 161-200 | 94                      | 140 | 234 |
| 18       | 161-200 | 130                     | 210 | 351 |
| 24       | 161-200 | 188                     | 280 | 468 |
| 30       | 161-200 | 235                     | 350 | 585 |

## **Ca:Mg Ratio Calculation**

Cation Ratio = meq cation A ÷ meq cation B Example:

#### Cation Ratio

- meq Ca = 4.88
- meq Mg = 1.72
- meq K = 0.31
- meq H = 2.40
  - CEC = 9.31

Ca:Mg =  $(4.88 \div 1.72) = 2.8:1$ 

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

## Relationship Between Soil Ca:Mg Ratio and Crop Yield

 Ca:Mg ratio range where greenhouse and field research has shown no detrimental yield effects

0.5 Ca: 1 Mg to 50 Ca: 1 Mg

(2 times more Mg to 50 times more Ca) (on a meq basis)



## Typical Ca:Mg Ratio of Iowa Surface Soils

| Soil Type | рΗ       | CEC  | Ca   | Mg  | K   | Ca:Mg<br>Ratio |
|-----------|----------|------|------|-----|-----|----------------|
|           | meq/100g |      |      |     |     |                |
| Primghar  | 5.8      | 32.7 | 22.4 | 7.4 | 0.5 | 3.0            |
| Sac       | 6.0      | 29.8 | 20.6 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 3.7            |
| Kenyon    | 5.9      | 14.0 | 8.5  | 2.6 | 0.2 | 3.3            |
| Dinsdale  | 5.9      | 20.5 | 14.6 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 3.5            |
| Muscatine | 6.1      | 28.3 | 20.4 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 2.9            |
| Napier    | 6.6      | 27.6 | 23.5 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 7.3            |

## Magnesium is not "bad" for a soil

- Essential element
- Mg<sup>++</sup> divalent
  cation that helps
  with soil structure

| Effect of broadcast potash and sulpomag       |                                |     |     |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| on corn yield, Webster soil.                  |                                |     |     |  |  |
| Year  | Control KCI KMgSO <sub>4</sub> |     |     |  |  |
|   | bu/acre                        |     |     |  |  |
| 1967  | 146                            | 160 | 161 |  |  |
| 1968  | 148                            | 161 | 160 |  |  |
| 1969  | 144                            | 139 | 144 |  |  |
| 1970  | 108                            | 130 | 124 |  |  |
| 1971  | 147                            | 157 | 160 |  |  |
| 1972  | 129                            | 150 | 152 |  |  |
| 1973  | 115                            | 129 | 129 |  |  |
| 1974  | 120                            | 133 | 130 |  |  |
| 8-yr avg.                                     | 132                            | 145 | 145 |  |  |
| Fertilizers applied at 160 lb K/acre annually |                                |     |     |  |  |
| Sul-po-mag supplied 199 lb S/acre annually    |                                |     |     |  |  |
| J. Webb, 1978.                                |                                |     |     |  |  |

KMgSO<sub>4</sub> supplied 98 lb Mg/acre annually and 784 lb Mg/acre across 8 years



This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <u>www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</u>.

